

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

BEFORE THE  
ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE  
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE  
TO THE  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE  
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE  
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT  
REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: MAY 2, 2023  
1 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR  
CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2023-16

**133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864  
208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM**

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

**I N D E X**

| <b>ITEM DESCRIPTION</b>  | <b>PAGE NO.</b> |
|--|-----------------|
| <b>OPEN SESSION</b>  |                 |
| 1. CALL TO ORDER   | 3               |
| 2. ROLL CALL   | 3               |
| <b>DISCUSSION ITEMS</b>  |                 |
| 3. DISCUSSION OF COVERAGE ANALYSIS,<br>INSURANCE SUPPORT, AND CO-PAY ASSISTANCE<br>FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPIES | 6               |
| 4. PUBLIC COMMENT  | NONE            |
| 5. ADJOURNMENT   | 48              |

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MAY 2, 2023; 1 P.M.

VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THANKS FOR JOINING US TODAY. WE REALLY APPRECIATE ALL THE TIME AND EFFORT YOU'VE MADE TO BE HERE.

I WANTED TO START OFF BY ASKING OUR NEW BOARD CHAIR, DR. IMBASCIANI, TO SAY A FEW WORDS, INTRODUCE HIMSELF. AND SO, VITO.

CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: THANK YOU. HI, EVERYONE. GOOD AFTERNOON. SHE CAUGHT ME UNAWARES.

I THINK MANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS, I THINK, KNOW ME, BUT I'LL JUST SAY HELLO ANYWAY. SO I'M NOW SIX WEEKS ON THE JOB AS THE CHAIR OF THE ICOC, NOMINATED BY THE GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR. AND I SOMETIMES ASK WHY DID THEY DO THAT TO ME. AND I THINK IT'S BECAUSE OF MY EXPERIENCE.

I'VE FOUR GOT CHAPTERS TO MY LIFE. I'VE BEEN IN ACADEMICS, ALTHOUGH MY PH.D. IS IN THE HUMANITIES. I SPENT 27 YEARS IN THE ARMY MEDICAL CORPS WITH FORWARD APPOINTMENTS TO COMBAT ZONES AND TEN YEARS AS THE STATE SURGEON OF THE CALIFORNIA NATIONAL GUARD, ALMOST 30 YEARS AS A CLINICAL SURGEON OF UROLOGY IN THE KAISER PERMANENTE SYSTEM IN LOS ANGELES. STILL CLINICALLY ACTIVE AND DEDICATING MYSELF VOLUNTARILY ONE WEEKEND A MONTH AT

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 KERN MEDICAL CENTER BAKERSFIELD COUNTY HOSPITAL TO  
2 KEEP MY SURGICAL SKILLS UP. AND EIGHT YEARS SERVING  
3 IN THE CABINET OF TWO GOVERNORS, GOVERNOR BROWN AND  
4 GOVERNOR NEWSOM.

5 AND I THINK PROBABLY THE REASON THEY  
6 NOMINATED ME IS BECAUSE OF THAT EXPERIENCE WITH  
7 LEGISLATIVE, GOVERNMENTAL, REGULATORY, AND FINANCIAL  
8 ASPECTS OF GOVERNMENT, INCLUDING PERSONAL CONTACTS  
9 WITH ALL OF OUR LEGISLATORS IN SACRAMENTO AND IN  
10 WASHINGTON, AND WITH GREAT FAMILIARITY WITH THE BOND  
11 PROCESS.

12 I'M DELIGHTED TO BE HERE AS THE CHAIR OF  
13 THE BOARD AND HAVE MY NEW VICE CHAIR HERE AND OUR  
14 WONDERFUL PRESIDENT. I THINK WE'VE GOT A TREMENDOUS  
15 WORKING TEAM HERE TO LEAD US INTO OUR THIRD DECADE  
16 WITH YOUR HELP ALSO, BOARD MEMBERS AND GUESTS.  
17 THANK YOU.

18 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.  
19 MARIANNE, CAN YOU CALL THE ROLL.

20 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAN BERNAL.  
21 MARIA BONNEVILLE.

22 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.

23 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ANN BOYNTON.  
24 JAMES DEBENEDETTI.

25 MR. DE BENEDETTI: HERE.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DANA DORNSIFE.  
2 MS. DORNSIFE: HERE.  
3 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DANA GOLDMAN.  
4 TED GOLDSTEIN. DAVID HIGGINS.  
5 DR. HIGGINS: HERE.  
6 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: VITO IMBASCIANI.  
7 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: HERE.  
8 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: HARLAN LEVINE.  
9 DR. LEVINE: HERE.  
10 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: PAT LEVITT.  
11 DR. LEVITT: HERE.  
12 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ADRIANA PADILLA.  
13 AMMAR QADAN.  
14 DR. QADAN: HERE.  
15 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AL ROWLETT.  
16 DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL. MAHESWARI SENTHIL. ADRIENNE  
17 SHAPIRO.  
18 MS. SHAPIRO: HERE.  
19 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AND THEN DAN  
20 BERNAL, CHECKING AGAIN.  
21 MR. BERNAL: PRESENT.  
22 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: I THINK I JUST  
23 SAW ADRIANA PADILLA.  
24 DR. PADILLA: HERE.  
25 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AND THEN

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MAHESWARI SENTHIL.

2 DR. SENTHIL: YEAH, HERE.

3 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: WE ARE GOOD. WE  
4 HAVE QUORUM.

5 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

6 TODAY SEAN WILL BE PRESENTING THE FINAL  
7 SUBJECT MATTER BEFORE THE ROADMAP COMES TO US NEXT  
8 MONTH. AS YOU KNOW, OVER THE COURSE OF THE LAST  
9 YEAR, HE AND HIS TEAM HAVE COME TO US, TO THE  
10 WORKING GROUP, TO OUTLINE DIFFERENT AREAS AND  
11 TACTICS THAT WE MIGHT USE TO HELP PATIENTS IN  
12 CALIFORNIA ACCESS OUR TRIALS AND AFFORD THEM. AND  
13 THERE ARE DIFFERENT MEASURES TO THAT. AND YOU ARE  
14 GOING WALK US THROUGH SOME OF THOSE THINGS TODAY.  
15 AND THEN NEXT MONTH WE'LL HAVE A BIGGER PICTURE OF  
16 WHAT THE NEXT FEW YEARS HOLDS FOR THIS WORKING GROUP  
17 AS WELL AS FOR CIRM IN THESE AREAS. SO, SEAN, TAKE  
18 IT AWAY.

19 DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU, VICE CHAIRMAN  
20 AND CHAIRMAN. WELCOME, AAWG MEMBERS. THANK YOU FOR  
21 THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT TODAY. I WANT TO FIRST  
22 MAKE SURE EVERYBODY CAN SEE MY SLIDES. WONDERFUL.

23 ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE A VERY INTERESTING  
24 DISCUSSION TODAY. AND AS OUR VICE CHAIRMAN  
25 MENTIONED, THIS IS THE LAST OF OUR DUE DILIGENCE ON

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 A NUMBER OF INVESTIGATIONS, IF YOU WILL, THAT WE'VE  
2 RESEARCHED WITH RESPECT TO ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.  
3 SO I'M GOING TO START WITH THIS SLIDE, AND THIS IS  
4 OUR ROADMAP TO ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY STRATEGIES.  
5 WE CONTINUE TO WORK FROM THIS AAWG-APPROVED STRATEGY  
6 TO FRAME OUR DISCUSSION AROUND ACCESS AND  
7 AFFORDABILITY FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPY.

8 AND AS A REMINDER AND SORT OF PIGGYBACK  
9 WHAT OUR VICE CHAIRMAN JUST MENTIONED, THE ROADMAP  
10 IS PART OF OUR FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN FOR  
11 GATHERING THE NECESSARY DATA AND INFORMATION TO  
12 SUPPORT REIMBURSEMENT FOR PRODUCTS RESULTING FROM  
13 CIRM'S PROGRAMS, INCLUDING DEVELOPING NOVEL  
14 HEALTHCARE AND COVERAGE MODELS, WHICH IS THE TOPIC  
15 OF TODAY'S DISCUSSION.

16 SO JUST FOR BACKGROUND, THE WAY WE  
17 APPROACHED OUR METHODOLOGY IS THESE, OF COURSE, ARE  
18 THE FOUR STRATEGIES THAT WE ALL ALIGN TO WITH  
19 RESPECT TO RESEARCH. AND WE TRY TO MARRY TWO  
20 APPROACHES HERE. ONE IS WHAT IS THE JOURNEY, THE  
21 PATIENT JOURNEY, FOR THE PATIENT THAT'S GOING ALL  
22 THE WAY FROM CLINICAL TRIALS, AND WE TALKED ABOUT  
23 PATIENT SUPPORT AND OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES GOING  
24 THROUGH THAT TRIAL, AND ALL THE WAY TO POTENTIAL  
25 COMMERCIALIZATION, AND WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE FOR A

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 PATIENT TO ACTUALLY GO INTO THE COMMERCIAL THERAPY.

2 AND THEN THE OTHER THING WE TRIED TO MARRY

3 THAT WITH IS WHAT DOES IT LOOK LIKE FOR MANY OF THE

4 CELL AND GENE THERAPIES FOR THAT CLINICAL

5 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM ALL THE WAY TO POSTMARKETING,

6 WHICH WE TALKED ABOUT A COUPLE OF OTHER SESSIONS

7 AGO. SO THE MARRY OF THOSE TWO REALLY GET US IN

8 AREAS WHERE THERE'S PRESSURE POINTS AND AREAS THAT

9 ARE DIFFICULT FOR PATIENTS THAT WE THINK WE CAN

10 IDENTIFY, AND WE HAVE, FOR FUNDING MECHANISMS FOR

11 THE FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN.

12 SO TODAY SPECIFICALLY I DO WANT US TO

13 FOCUS ON COVERAGE ANALYSIS, WHICH IS, TO BE HONEST

14 WITH YOU, HOT OFF THE PRESS. AND THEN I WANT TO

15 FOCUS A LITTLE BIT ON PERFORMANCE-BASED MODELS,

16 WHICH I PRESENTED SOME TIME AGO. THESE ARE THE

17 OUTCOMES-BASED MODELS THAT ARE VERY POPULAR NOW WITH

18 CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. AND WE RECEIVED SOME NEW

19 INFORMATION THAT WAS PUBLISHED LAST MONTH THAT WILL

20 GIVE US SOME INTEL ON HOW PREVALENT THEY ARE AND

21 WHERE THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO CONSIDER SOME

22 FUNDING MECHANISMS.

23 SO LET ME FIRST START WITH THIS SLIDE.

24 AND WE ARE LUCKY ENOUGH NOW THAT WE HAVE SOME EXTRA

25 HORSEPOWER, IF YOU WILL, FROM A RESEARCH STANDPOINT.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AND WE'VE CONSULTED WITH A GROUP THAT CAN PROVIDE  
2 DEEP RESEARCH ON PAYER COVERAGES. AND THROUGH THIS  
3 PROCESS WE'VE IDENTIFIED A POTENTIAL PROBLEM. AND  
4 THAT'S NOT THE BEST WAY TO START THIS TALK, BUT IT  
5 IS SOMETHING THAT WE SEE AS SOMETHING THAT SHOULD  
6 IMPACT PATIENTS WITH RESPECT TO ACCESS AND  
7 AFFORDABILITY.

8 AND THE PROBLEM STATEMENT IS A LACK OF  
9 TRANSPARENCY OF PAYERS' COVERAGE POLICIES FOR CELL  
10 AND GENE THERAPIES. SO LET ME WALK YOU THROUGH THIS  
11 AND WHERE WE THINK THERE'S A POTENTIAL  
12 RECOMMENDATION ON TWO FRONTS. SO WE DO KNOW  
13 HISTORICALLY THAT COVERAGE POLICIES ARE LIMITED,  
14 WHETHER IT'S SMALL MOLECULE, LARGE MOLECULE,  
15 REGARDLESS OF CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. BUT WE ALSO  
16 HAVE NOTICED NOW RECENTLY THAT THERE'S BEEN A NUMBER  
17 OF POLICIES THAT HAVE RESTRICTED COVERAGE WITH  
18 RESPECT TO CELL AND GENE THERAPIES. AS WE ALL KNOW,  
19 AGAIN, MANY CELL AND GENE THERAPIES ARE GOING TO  
20 REACH THE MARKET WITHIN THE NEXT ONE TO THREE YEARS,  
21 MANY WITH HIGH PRICE POINTS. NOW, TO BE FAIR, THOSE  
22 PRICE POINTS HAVE BEEN VALIDATED WITH RESPECT TO  
23 PHARMACOECONOMICS. SO FROM A COST-EFFECTIVENESS  
24 STANDPOINT, THEY ARE WELL RECEIVED BY PAYERS.

25 BUT ON THE OTHER HAND, PAYERS ARE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DESIGNING NARROW COVERAGE POLICIES FOR CELL AND GENE  
2 THERAPY TO REDUCE THEIR FINANCIAL RISK. AND THAT'S  
3 NOT SURPRISING. AND THE REASON WHY IT'S NOT  
4 SURPRISING IS, ONE, THERE'S AN UPFRONT COST TO MANY  
5 OF THESE THERAPIES. AND MANY INSURERS, PARTICULARLY  
6 THOSE IN THE MIDDLE, ARE HAVING A VERY DIFFICULT  
7 TIME THINKING ABOUT HOW ARE WE GOING TO AFFORD THAT  
8 UPFRONT COST RIGHT OUT THE GATE. WE UNDERSTAND,  
9 FROM A COST-EFFECTIVE STANDPOINT, DOWN THE ROAD  
10 THAT'S GOING TO PAY DIVIDENDS. PARTICULARLY WE ARE  
11 TALKING CURING A PATIENT, BUT AT THE SAME TIME  
12 PAYERS ARE THINKING ABOUT, WELL, HOW CAN WE RESTRICT  
13 SOME OF THESE CELL AND GENE THERAPIES SPECIFICALLY  
14 TO THE LABEL. THAT'S IMPORTANT. I'LL TALK ABOUT  
15 THAT IN A FEW MINUTES.

16 SO WHAT WE WERE ABLE TO IDENTIFY, AT LEAST  
17 FROM WHAT'S BEEN PUBLISHED RECENTLY, IS A NUMBER OF  
18 EXAMPLES OF RESTRICTIVE COVERAGE POLICIES FOR CELL  
19 AND GENE THERAPY. AND EVERYTHING THAT'S UP HERE IS  
20 LINKED TO A PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATION. SO MOVING  
21 FORWARD, EVERYTHING IN MEDICAL AFFAIRS IS PRESENTED  
22 FROM THE AAWG. WE'LL HAVE THE CITATIONS. ALL THE  
23 CITATIONS ARE ONLINE. SO IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A LOOK  
24 AT ANY OF THIS INFORMATION, IT'S ALL REFERENCED IN  
25 THE SLIDE DECK.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 I WON'T GO THROUGH EACH AND EVERY ONE OF  
2 THESE, BUT IT DOES SET PRECEDENTS THAT PAYERS DO  
3 RESTRICT THE LABEL. AND IT'S NOT SO MUCH WITH  
4 RESPECT TO DOSING OR SCHEDULE. IT'S REALLY ABOUT  
5 MEDICAL NECESSITY, WHETHER OR NOT THE PATIENT  
6 ACTUALLY NEEDS THE ABSOLUTE REQUIREMENT FOR THE GENE  
7 THERAPY. AND SO THAT'S WHERE IT GETS A LITTLE BIT  
8 SLIPPERY. AND THAT'S WHERE THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY  
9 FOR US TO EXPAND ON THE RESEARCH.

10 SO JUST REAL QUICKLY, LET ME RUN THROUGH  
11 THESE. ZOLGENSMA WAS RESTRICTED A LITTLE BIT WITH  
12 RESPECT TO AGE. AND MANY OF YOU KNOW THAT WAS ONE  
13 OF THE FIRST GENE THERAPY TRIALS FOR SPINAL MUSCULAR  
14 ATROPHY. HEMGENIX JUST RECENTLY FOR HEMOPHILIA B  
15 HAS BEEN RESTRICTED TO SOME EXTENT. BLUE CROSS BLUE  
16 SHIELD FOR LUXTURNA WHICH WE TALKED ABOUT ACTUALLY  
17 IN ANOTHER MEETING YESTERDAY WITH MEDICAL AFFAIRS  
18 FOR THE ASSOCIATED RETINAL DYSTROPHY. AND THEN  
19 FINALLY -- I'M SURE DR. HARLAN COULD OPINE HERE --  
20 THERE'S BEEN QUITE A BIT OF RESTRICTION ON THE  
21 POLICY SIDE WITH RESPECT TO THE IMMUNOTHERAPIES ON  
22 THE ONCOLOGY SIDE AS WELL AS THE AUTOIMMUNE SIDE.

23 SO THE QUESTION IS WHAT CAN WE DO ABOUT  
24 IT? AND I'M GOING TO GIVE AN EXAMPLE OF WHAT'S DONE  
25 IN INDUSTRY. SO WHEN WE PREPARE FOR A DRUG LAUNCH,

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 WE KNOW THAT THERE'S GOING TO BE PUSHBACK BY THE  
2 PAYERS. AND WE DO A DUE DILIGENCE ON WHICH PAYERS  
3 ARE LIKELY TO ALIGN WITH THE FDA LABEL AND WHO IS  
4 GOING TO PUSH BACK A LITTLE BIT BASED ON THEY WANT  
5 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, EFFICACY, SAFETY DATA.

6 NOW, LET ME BACK UP EVEN A LITTLE FURTHER.  
7 JUST BECAUSE YOU HAVE FDA AUTHORIZATION, MARKETING  
8 AUTHORIZATION, DOESN'T MANDATE PAYER REIMBURSEMENT.  
9 IT'S A WHOLE NOTHER ANIMAL. AND SO THIS IS WHERE  
10 MARKET ACCESS AND TRADITIONAL MEDICAL AFFAIRS STARTS  
11 TO TEE UP WHAT TYPE OF DATA, ADDITIONAL DATA, ARE WE  
12 GOING TO NEED TO ADDRESS PAYERS' CONCERNS. SO  
13 PAYERS CAN COME BACK AND SAY, WELL, I'D LIKE TO SEE  
14 MORE INFORMATION ON CHILDREN LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF  
15 AGE. WHAT DO YOU HAVE? AND THIS IS WHERE THE  
16 MANUFACTURER, POTENTIALLY THE HOSPITAL WILL SAY,  
17 THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE ON FILE. MEANS EVERYTHING THAT  
18 WE DID IN OUR CLINICAL TRIAL BUT, MORE IMPORTANTLY,  
19 WHAT WE CAN DO AND WHAT HAS BEEN DONE IS DESIGNING  
20 NEW TRIALS TO ADDRESS UNANSWERED QUESTIONS FROM  
21 PAYERS.

22 AND WHAT'S BEEN DONE CURRENTLY IS THE  
23 REAL-WORLD DATA. SO THIS FITS INTO HOW IMPORTANT  
24 THE REAL-WORLD DATA IS TO RESPONDING TO PAYERS'  
25 QUESTIONS AND DENIALS TO SOME EXTENT ABOUT

1 ADDITIONAL DATA.

2 I'LL CONTINUE TO EMPHASIZE HOW IMPORTANT  
3 REAL-WORLD DATA IS THROUGH THIS PRESENTATION. BUT  
4 IT IS NOW PRECEDENT THAT REAL-WORLD DATA HAS BEEN  
5 USED, NOT ONLY FROM A REGULATORY FILING FOR  
6 CONTINUED SUPPLEMENTS OF NDA OR BLA, BUT MORE  
7 IMPORTANTLY IT HAS BEEN USED FOR DENIALS BY PAYERS  
8 IN THE CELL AND GENE THERAPY SPACE FOR ADDITIONAL  
9 EFFICACY AND SAFETY DATA TO SUPPORT THE THERAPY.

10 SO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE WERE THINKING  
11 IS THIS IS JUST A SNAPSHOT OF WHAT'S OUT THERE. WE  
12 HAVE NOT DONE A FULL REVIEW OF THE COVERAGE ANALYSIS  
13 ON PAYERS FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPY. ONE  
14 RECOMMENDATION IS THAT WE'D LIKE TO CONVENE A MUCH  
15 LARGER ROBUST COVERAGE ANALYSIS FOR PAYER POLICIES  
16 FOR CELL AND GENE THERAPY TO REVIEW AND ADVISE ON  
17 OPPORTUNITIES CIRM CAN DEPLOY TO HELP CALIFORNIA  
18 PATIENTS.

19 THE OTHER THING THAT I'D LIKE TO STRESS  
20 TEST WITH THE AAWG REALLY IS AROUND DENIAL, IF YOU  
21 WILL, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT BENEFIT MANAGERS. SO  
22 EARLIER IN THESE PRESENTATIONS, WE TALKED ABOUT THE  
23 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PATIENT NAVIGATORS THAT ARE  
24 HELPING PATIENTS THROUGH THE CLINICAL TRIAL PROCESS  
25 AND THE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAM AND HOW THEY MAY BE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 POSITIONED TO COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE.

2 THE OTHER THING THAT TAKES PLACE IS THE  
3 APPEAL PROCESS. SO IF ANYBODY HERE AT THIS TABLE,  
4 CLINICIANS ON THE CALL, THE PATIENT ADVOCACIES, HAVE  
5 PROBABLY EXPERIENCED WHAT IT'S LIKE TO HAVE A DENIAL  
6 FROM A PAYER, DOES NOT MEET THEIR SPECIFIC  
7 REQUIREMENTS FOR A PARTICULAR THERAPY. AND THE  
8 PROCESS OF GOING THROUGH THE APPEAL PROCESS CAN BE  
9 INCREDIBLY BURDENSOME, NOT ONLY FOR THE CLINIC, AND  
10 I KNOW MANY ALPHA CLINICS CERTAINLY HAVE THE  
11 EXPERTISE TO DO THIS. BUT WHEN YOU START THINKING  
12 ABOUT THE APPEAL PROCESS FOR PATIENTS, THE APPEAL  
13 PROCESS FOR THE FAMILY MEMBERS, THE APPEAL PROCESS  
14 FOR COMMUNITY DOCS WHO ARE OUT THERE WHO REALLY HAVE  
15 A LIMITED STAFF TO BE ABLE TO HANDLE THAT, THAT'S  
16 WHERE THINGS REALLY SLOW DOWN.

17 AND SO THAT'S ANOTHER QUESTION I HAVE FOR  
18 THE AAWG AND WHETHER OR NOT THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE  
19 COULD CONSIDER OUT IN THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF  
20 EXCELLENCE. SO, AGAIN, WE HAVE THOSE PATIENT  
21 NAVIGATORS THAT ARE HELPING PATIENTS WITH THE  
22 CLINICAL TRIALS, BUT SHOULD WE CONSIDER GOING DOWN  
23 THIS ROAD WITH RESPECT TO THE ROADMAP BENEFIT  
24 MANAGERS WHO HAVE THE EXPERTISE TO BE ABLE TO GET  
25 PATIENTS APPROVAL THROUGH INSURANCE IN A TIMELY

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MANNER. I CAN SEE THIS BEING A POTENTIAL POSITIVE  
2 CERTAINLY FOR PATIENTS OUT THERE IN THE RURAL  
3 COMMUNITIES WHO JUST DON'T HAVE THAT TYPE OF  
4 SUPPORT.

5 SO WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO PAUSE A LITTLE  
6 BIT HERE. I KNOW I THREW A LOT AT YOU, BUT I WOULD  
7 LIKE TO GET THE INPUT OF THE AAWG, EVEN OUR  
8 COLLEAGUES HERE AROUND THE TABLE, OF WHETHER OR NOT  
9 WE ARE TRACKING THE RIGHT DIRECTION HERE WITH  
10 RESPECT TO LOOKING AT COVERAGE ANALYSIS FOR PAYERS  
11 PARTICULARLY IN CELL AND GENE. THERE'S SOME OPINION  
12 THAT THIS IS A PATTERN THAT THEY CAN EXPECT MOVING  
13 FORWARD, PARTICULARLY WITH HEMOPHILIA B WHICH MANY  
14 STUDIES ARE NOW LOOKING AT SPECIFIC MUTATION. AND  
15 THERE'S A MUCH LARGER POPULATION THAT DOESN'T HAVE  
16 THAT PARTICULAR MUTATION AND WHETHER OR NOT PAYERS  
17 ARE ACTUALLY GOING TO COVER THOSE PATIENTS.

18 SICKLE CELL IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE WHERE  
19 THERE'S SPECIFIC MUTATIONS THAT ARE IN THE ORIGINAL  
20 TRIAL. ADDITIONAL MUTATIONS WERE NOT. AND THE  
21 QUESTION IS IS THAT GENERALIZABLE TO THE REST OF THE  
22 SICKLE CELL COMMUNITY? AND SO INDUSTRY AND PATIENT  
23 ADVOCACY AND HOSPITALS ARE STARTING TO THINK THROUGH  
24 WHAT TYPE OF DATA GENERATION DO WE NEED TO CREATE IN  
25 ORDER TO GET READY FOR THAT SORT OF PUSHBACK.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 SO LET ME PAUSE THERE IF IT'S OKAY WITH  
2 THE AAWG.

3 DR. MILLAN: THERE'S A HAND RAISED.

4 DR. TURBEVILLE: YES. I DON'T SEE THE  
5 HANDS, SO I'LL HAVE TO ASK HAVE MARIANNE. FIRST,  
6 LET ME GET SOME FEEDBACK, IF WE CAN, AND MAKE SURE  
7 THAT WE'RE TRACKING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION HERE.

8 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AMMAR QADAN HAS  
9 HIS HAND RAISED.

10 DR. QADAN: YES. THANK YOU. I ALSO WANT  
11 TO WELCOME THE NEW CHAIR. WELCOME THE BOARD.

12 SEAN, THIS IS REALLY GOOD WORK TO START  
13 THINKING ABOUT. ONE OF THE THINGS I REMEMBER FEW  
14 MONTHS AGO WHEN ABLA SHARED WITH US THE CLINICAL  
15 PROGRAM, WHICH I BELIEVE, I DON'T KNOW FOR OTHERS, I  
16 BELIEVE WE NEED TO HAVE ANOTHER ROUND OF DISCUSSIONS  
17 AROUND THE CLINICAL PROGRAM BECAUSE THAT FAST RUN IS  
18 NOT ENOUGH FOR US TO DIGEST THE WORK THAT IS GOING  
19 ON, THE DIFFERENT INDICATIONS THAT WE ARE SEEKING,  
20 ALL OF THOSE TYPES OF THINGS. SO THERE MIGHT BE A  
21 NEED FOR ANOTHER ROUND SO THAT WE CAN GIVE ALSO  
22 BETTER FEEDBACK. SO THAT'S ONE THING.

23 THE SECOND THING, WHEN WE HAD THAT  
24 DISCUSSION, I THINK ABLA MENTIONED THAT WE ARE  
25 GATHERING, IN FACT, SOME ECONOMIC DATA, HEALTHCARE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 UTILIZATION IN OUR CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.  
2 AND I REMEMBER I WAS ASSURED THAT WE ARE RECRUITING  
3 A THIRD PARTY TO DO THAT. SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT TYPE  
4 OF DATA IS BEING GATHERED, IF ANY. AND SO MAYBE, IF  
5 IT'S NOT DONE, THEN WE NEED TO DO IT.

6 AND THE THIRD THING IS, GOING BACK TO MY  
7 RECOMMENDATION DURING THE LAST MEETING, WE NEED TO  
8 HAVE A FULL-TIME HEALTH ECONOMIST ON BOARD TO START  
9 LOOKING AT HOW WE WANT TO GATHER THE DATA AND HOW WE  
10 WANT TO USE THE DATA. THANK YOU.

11 DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU, AMMAR. ANY  
12 OTHER COMMENTS?

13 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: JUST REAL QUICKLY,  
14 WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT CONVENING COVERAGE ANALYSIS, CAN  
15 YOU EXPAND ON WHAT YOU MEAN? DO YOU MEAN YOU ARE  
16 GOING TO CALL -- ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT BRINGING  
17 PEOPLE TOGETHER? ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT HIRING A  
18 CONSULTANT TO GET THIS? ARE WE TALKING ABOUT  
19 CONVENING A SUMMIT HERE? LIKE WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY  
20 CONVENE COVERAGE ANALYSIS?

21 DR. TURBEVILLE: I WAS REALLY IMPRESSED  
22 WITH WHAT THE TEAM FOUND IN THE LAST COUPLE OF  
23 WEEKS. THEY DO HAVE TO DO SOME DIGGING. MY  
24 RECOMMENDATION IS TO CONTINUE WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW,  
25 LET THE TEAM DIG A LITTLE BIT DEEPER INTO WHAT'S

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 BEEN PUBLISHED AND WHAT HASN'T WITH RESPECT TO PAYER  
2 POLICIES.

3 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: OUR TEAM  
4 INTERNALLY?

5 DR. TURBEVILLE: IT COULD BE OUR TEAM AS  
6 WELL AS THE CONSULTING TEAM THAT HAS DONE A LOT OF  
7 THE RESEARCH. REALLY IMPRESSED WITH THE TEAM. AND  
8 JUST FOR BACKGROUND, THIS IS THE ANALYSIS GROUP  
9 THAT'S HERE LOCATED IN CALIFORNIA THAT DOES A LOT OF  
10 HEOR WORK AND WAS ABLE TO FIND SOME REALLY  
11 INTERESTING INFORMATION.

12 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I DON'T HAVE A  
13 COMPUTER TO RAISE A HAND. JUST FOLLOWING UP ON  
14 MARIA'S QUESTION, I'M SURE WE'RE GOING TO BE  
15 IMPRESSED WITH OUR INTERNAL. I'D LIKE TO BE  
16 IMPRESSED WITH THE COVERAGE PEOPLE. ARE THEY GOING  
17 TO BE FORTHCOMING, DO YOU THINK?

18 DR. TURBEVILLE: IF YOU MEAN GETTING  
19 PAYERS IN THE ROOM.

20 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: YES.

21 DR. TURBEVILLE: THAT'S A DIFFERENT  
22 DELIVERABLE. SO, YES, JUST FOR FEEDBACK, I THINK  
23 WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THERE IS GETTING PAYERS  
24 INTO THE ROOM AND START ASKING THEM ABOUT WHAT THEIR  
25 CONCERNS ARE WITH RESPECT TO CELL AND GENE THERAPY.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: DO THEY HAVE A MODEL  
2 THAT THEY MIGHT WANT TO PROPOSE?

3 DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. I KNOW DR. LEVINE  
4 AND I TALKED ABOUT THIS, AND THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION.  
5 THAT'S A REALLY GOOD QUESTION. I HOPE THEY ARE. I  
6 HOPE THEY ARE ABSOLUTELY CANDID SO THEY TELL US  
7 HERE'S THE CONCERNS. AND TALKING TO PAYERS MYSELF,  
8 WHAT THE CONCERNS ARE, I THINK, WOULD RESONATE WITH  
9 THEM, AND THAT IS THAT UPFRONT COST.

10 I THINK THE OTHER CONCERN IS THE FOLLOW-UP  
11 TO SOME EXTENT. WHAT DOES THAT LOOK LIKE? AND  
12 THESE CONTRACTS, WHICH I'LL GET INTO AS THE NEXT  
13 TOPIC, IS FASCINATING WHAT WE JUST FOUND IN THE LAST  
14 TWO WEEKS.

15 SO I HOPE THE ANSWER IS YES. I THINK WITH  
16 THE AAWG'S RECOMMENDATIONS OF WHO WE CAN GET IN THE  
17 ROOM, WHETHER IT'S THE BLUE CROSS, KAISERS OF THE  
18 WORLD, A SAFE HARBOR FOR THEM TO BE ABLE TO HAVE  
19 THAT DISCUSSION WITH US, I THINK YOU CAN GET SOME  
20 GOOD INFORMATION.

21 CHAIRMAN IMBASCIANI: I HAD A SECOND  
22 QUESTION, UNRELATED. I'M JUST LOOKING AT THE  
23 EXAMPLES OF RESTRICTIVE COVERAGE POLICIES. MY  
24 PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE, EXPERIENCE THE LAST 20 YEARS OF  
25 HEALTHCARE COVERAGE IN CALIFORNIA, GROUPS OFFERED

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 WHAT THEY OFFERED UNTIL THE LEGISLATORS SAID NOT  
2 ENOUGH, NOT GOOD ENOUGH. SO 20 YEARS AGO ALL  
3 HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS IN CALIFORNIA HAD TO OFFER  
4 OB-GYN CARE, PERINATAL CARE, WHATEVER. THEN ABOUT  
5 15 YEARS AGO THE MENTAL HEALTH COMMUNITY, THEY GOT A  
6 BILL THROUGH THE LEGISLATURE IN CALIFORNIA REQUIRING  
7 PARITY IN THE CARE, THE PHYSICAL NEED, YOU ARE  
8 EQUALLY OBLIGATED.

9 SO DO YOU SEE A LEGISLATIVE BOOST FOR  
10 THIS? THIS IS PROBABLY PREMATURE, THE QUESTION, BUT  
11 MAYBE NOT SO MUCH.

12 DR. TURBEVILLE: THINKING THROUGH THE  
13 ROADMAP, IT'S A FIVE-YEAR STRATEGY. SO I LIKE THAT.  
14 THE ANSWER IS YES. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT CELL AND  
15 GENE THERAPIES HERE. SO IT IS A LITTLE BIT NICHEY,  
16 IF YOU WILL. BUT WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT ONCOLOGY,  
17 WHICH IS A BIG BUDGET IMPACT FACTOR FOR PAYERS,  
18 CERTAINLY. WE HAVE SORT OF DABBLED IN THE POLICY  
19 SIDE IN TERMS OF WHAT WE SUPPORT, WHAT WE CAN  
20 CONSIDER PUTTING TOGETHER OURSELVES WITH RESPECT TO  
21 THE PROPOSITION. BUT CERTAINLY ALL FOUR, IF WE CAN  
22 BE ABLE TO, I WOULDN'T SAY, DRIVE OUR OWN POLICY,  
23 BUT CERTAINLY SUPPORT POLICY THAT'S OUT THERE THAT  
24 WOULD IMPACT ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY FOR PATIENTS,  
25 PARTICULARLY THE UNDERSERVED AND, MORE IMPORTANTLY,

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THE RURAL COMMUNITIES. THERE IS A RACE RIGHT NOW  
2 FOR THE RURAL COMMUNITY, WHETHER IT'S US OR INDUSTRY  
3 OR OTHER ORGANIZATIONS. SO THAT'S A GOOD THING TO  
4 SEE. BUT WE REALLY NEED TO DO A DEEP DIVE ON  
5 OPPORTUNITIES ON POLICY.

6 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: WE HAVE DAVID  
7 HIGGINS AND THEN HARLAN LEVINE NEXT.

8 DR. HIGGINS: THANK YOU. I HAVE A  
9 FUNDAMENTAL QUESTION ABOUT WHAT OUR GOALS ARE. IT'S  
10 SORT OF ARE WE GOING DEEP OR ARE WE GOING WIDE? AND  
11 THE QUESTION SIMPLY IS WOULD WE TRY TO COVER MORE  
12 INDICATIONS OR COVER INDICATIONS WITH MORE MONEY?  
13 SO THE BENEFITS TO THE PATIENTS, DO THEY COME WITH  
14 FUNDING A BROADER RANGE OF INDICATIONS OR MORE MONEY  
15 FOR ANY GIVEN INDICATION? I HOPE THAT'S CLEAR.

16 DR. TURBEVILLE: SO LET ME ANSWER. I  
17 THINK THE STRATEGY THAT I'D LIKE TO PROPOSE IS MORE  
18 ABOUT DATA GENERATION THAT WE CAN DEVELOP OR AT  
19 LEAST THAT WOULD HELP WITH THE PAYERS' QUESTIONS  
20 WITH RESPECT TO EFFICACY, ADDITIONAL EFFICACY AND  
21 SAFETY DATA. SO WE WOULD WORK DIRECTLY WITH THE  
22 PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES OR BIOTECH UNLESS THEY  
23 ENGAGE WITH US AND GOT A MUTUAL UNDERSTANDING IN  
24 TERMS OF WHAT THE SPECIFIC AIM WAS. AND THAT WAS  
25 SETTING UP AN INFRASTRUCTURE, WHETHER IT'S COMMUNITY

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE, THAT REAL-WORLD DATA  
2 GENERATION, SO THAT WE CAN PREPARE FOR OUR  
3 INVESTMENTS, QUITE FRANKLY. WHAT ARE GOING TO BE  
4 SORT OF THE STOP GAPS, IF YOU WILL, FROM PAYERS?

5 AND CAN WE THINK ABOUT WHAT THOSE  
6 CHALLENGES ARE NOW, START COLLECTING THAT DATA,  
7 SETTING UP THE TRIALS, AND PREPARE IMMEDIATELY TO  
8 RESPOND TO ANY OF THOSE PAYERS THAT HAVE QUESTIONS,  
9 ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS, ABOUT EFFICACY AND SAFETY.

10 AND SO THAT DOES IN ITSELF EXPAND ACCESS  
11 TO PATIENTS. I WOULDN'T GET -- ANOTHER LEVEL OF  
12 THIS, WE'RE GETTING INTO LAYERS, IS TO THINK ABOUT,  
13 WELL, IS THE DATA VALID ENOUGH WHERE WE CAN GO BACK  
14 AND HELP ONE OF OUR FUNDEES, FUNDERS, IF YOU WILL,  
15 GO BACK TO THE FDA WITH POTENTIAL REAL-WORLD DATA TO  
16 CHANGE OR MODIFY OR UPDATE THE SUPPLEMENTAL BLA.

17 DR. LEVINE: A COUPLE THOUGHTS ON THIS,  
18 AND I THINK SOME OF IT GETS INTO THE GRANULARITY OF  
19 HOW THESE THINGS GET EXECUTED. SO ONE COMMENT I  
20 WOULD MAKE IS, UNLIKE ONCOLOGY WHERE YOU KIND OF GET  
21 CANCER AND YOU NEED TO GET TREATMENT RELATIVELY  
22 QUICKLY, A LOT OF THESE CONDITIONS ARE CHRONIC  
23 CONDITIONS. AND IF YOU HAVE ONE HEALTHPLAN WITH A  
24 MORE GENEROUS POLICY THAN ANOTHER ONE, YOU'RE GOING  
25 TO GET PEOPLE SWITCHING AT THE END OF THE YEAR. AND

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THEN YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE SORT OF THIS RACE TO THE  
2 BOTTOM WHERE NO ONE WANTS TO HAVE BENEFITS FOR THIS.

3 SO I THINK YOU CAN MAKE A HEALTH POLICY  
4 ARGUMENT THAT THERE REALLY NEEDS TO BE PARITY FOR  
5 THESE CONDITIONS ACROSS THE HEALTHPLANS. NOT JUST  
6 BECAUSE IT'S EASIER FOR THE PROVIDER, BUT OTHERWISE  
7 YOU ARE GOING TO GET ANYONE THAT PROVIDES REASONABLE  
8 BENEFITS IS GOING TO GET DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECTED  
9 AS PEOPLE MOVE FROM ONE PLAN TO ANOTHER. SO I THINK  
10 WE HAVE TO BE REALLY -- I THINK THE LEGISLATIVE  
11 APPROACH IS GOING TO BE IMPORTANT HERE. I THINK  
12 CONSISTENCY IS IMPORTANT HERE. I THINK YOU CAN ASK  
13 YOURSELF WHY WOULD THERE BE DIFFERENT COVERAGE  
14 BETWEEN DIFFERENT BENEFITS BETWEEN DIFFERENT PAYERS.  
15 THAT'S JUST ONE COMMENT.

16 THE SECOND COMMENT I WOULD MAKE IS THINK  
17 ABOUT -- THE WAY ONCOLOGY IS PAID TODAY IS,  
18 PARTICULARLY FOR OUTPATIENT HOSPITAL UNITS AND  
19 DOCTOR OFFICES, THEY PURCHASE THE DRUG AND THEN THEY  
20 INFUSE THE DRUG AND THEY CHARGE A MARGIN. AND  
21 USUALLY THE MARGIN IS A PERCENTAGE OF THE COST OF  
22 THE DRUG, WHICH, BY THE WAY, NEVER REALLY MADE ALL  
23 THAT MUCH SENSE, BUT THAT'S THE WAY IT'S BEEN DONE.  
24 THERE'S A TREND NOW WITH KYMRIA AND THE OTHER  
25 CAR-T'S NOT TO WANT TO PAY A MARGIN BECAUSE THESE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ARE \$400,000 DRUGS. THEY DON'T WANT TO PAY THE 20  
2 PERCENT, 10 PERCENT, 6 PERCENT, WHATEVER IT IS.

3 AND WHAT'S HAPPENED NOW IS THAT THE PAYERS  
4 ARE ARGUING TO PAY ZERO ON TOP OF THE DRUG COST,  
5 WHICH IS A DISINCENTIVE FOR THE PROVIDERS TO  
6 ACTUALLY BOTHER TO GIVE THE DRUG BECAUSE THEY'RE  
7 TAKING UP A BED OR A CHAIR WHERE THEY COULD BE  
8 MAKING MARGIN AS OPPOSED TO BREAKING EVEN. SO THIS  
9 ISN'T SAID TO BENEFIT PAYERS, BUT I THINK IT'S TO  
10 CREATE A MARKET TO BENEFIT PROVIDERS. BUT IF YOU  
11 DON'T ALLOW FOR SOME SORT OF MARGIN FOR THE PROVIDER  
12 BECAUSE THESE DRUGS ARE, QUOTE, SO EXPENSIVE, YOU'RE  
13 NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY PROVIDER WANTING TO GIVE ANY  
14 OF THESE DRUGS. I THINK WE WANT TO PROTECT AGAINST  
15 ELIMINATING THE MARKET, NO. 2.

16 AND THEN THE LAST THING I WOULD JUST  
17 COMMENT ON, YOU TALKED ABOUT THE VALUE OVER A  
18 LIFETIME. FIRST OF ALL, PAYERS DON'T WORRY ABOUT  
19 LIFETIME VALUE UNLESS YOU'RE MEDICARE. BUT,  
20 SECONDLY, I THINK THE BIGGER CHALLENGE IS GOING TO  
21 BE PAYERS LEFT TO THEIR OWN DEVICES. WE'LL HAVE  
22 DIFFERENT DEFINED VALUE PROPOSITIONS FOR THINGS THAT  
23 WORK FOR NINE MONTHS OR 12 MONTHS AND THEN NEED  
24 REPEAT TREATMENT. AND SOME WILL SAY, WELL, THE COST  
25 BENEFIT ANALYSIS ISN'T WORTH IT, SO WE'RE NOT GOING

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 TO COVER IT AND OTHERS WILL COVER IT. YET ANOTHER  
2 REASON WHY WE NEED TO HAVE TO TRY TO TAKE A STRONG  
3 STAND AND TRY TO GET CONSISTENT POLICIES ACROSS  
4 PAYERS AT LEAST IN CALIFORNIA.

5 DR. TURBEVILLE: VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.

6 MR. DE BENEDETTI: ONE THING I THINK YOU  
7 SHOULD DO, AND MAYBE YOU'VE DONE THIS ALREADY IN  
8 YOUR REVIEW OF THE LAWS, TALK TO THE REGULATORS,  
9 DEPARTMENT OF MANAGED HEALTHCARE, AND MAYBE ALSO  
10 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE TO SEE WHAT THE EXPECTATIONS  
11 ARE IN TERMS OF PLANS, WHAT THEY'RE REQUIRED TO  
12 COVER. YOU CAN SEE WHAT THE FLOOR IS AND SEE WHAT  
13 CARRIERS, IF ANY, ARE ABOVE THAT FLOOR AND MAYBE  
14 SOME AREN'T EVEN MEETING THAT FLOOR, AND THE  
15 REGULATORS AREN'T AWARE OF THAT.

16 YOU ALSO HAVE THE ISSUE OF ERISA PLANS, SO  
17 SELF-FUNDED OFFERINGS OR PLAN OFFERINGS. EMPLOYERS  
18 OFTEN DON'T HAVE THE SAME REGULATIONS OVERSEEING  
19 THEM. THEY'RE EXEMPT FROM A LOT OF THEM. SO I  
20 DON'T KNOW TO THE EXTENT THAT MIGHT HAVE AN IMPACT  
21 ON WHAT HAS TO BE COVERED OR NOT. SOMETHING  
22 REGULATORS SHOULD BE ABLE TO TELL YOU ABOUT THOUGH.

23 DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU, JAMES. THAT'S  
24 GOOD.

25 THIS TEES UP THE NEXT SLIDE. SO PAYER

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MODELS. WE WERE ABLE TO DO A DEEP DIVE ON PAYER  
2 MODELS AS MUCH AS WE COULD. NOW, THESE ARE  
3 PROPRIETARY, OF COURSE, WITH COMPANIES AND  
4 MANUFACTURERS.

5 WHAT WE'VE IDENTIFIED IS A LACK OF  
6 STANDARDS FOR PERFORMANCE-BASED PAYMENT MODELS. AND  
7 I PRESENTED ON THIS A COUPLE OF TIMES IN THE PAST.  
8 I WON'T GET INTO GREAT GRANULARITY, BUT THERE'S FOUR  
9 OF THEM THAT ARE PREVALENT OUT THERE. THE  
10 ANNUITY-BASED PROGRAMS, OUTCOMES BASED-PROGRAMS, A  
11 HYBRID THEREOF, AND VALUE-BASED WARRANTIES, WHICH  
12 JUST A PRESS RELEASE FOR A BIOTECH COMPANY HERE IN  
13 THE BAY AREA IS USING FOR THEIR HEMOPHILIA.

14 SO THESE ARE ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL AGAIN  
15 WHEN IT COMES TO REAL-WORLD DATA GENERATION. AND  
16 THAT'S PROBABLY THE LAST I'LL SAY ABOUT REAL-WORLD  
17 DATA OTHER THAN THE FACT I THINK IT'S PROBABLY GOING  
18 TO BE A YEAR ONE STRATEGY WITH RESPECT TO THE  
19 ROADMAP. IT IS JUST THAT CRITICAL MOVING FORWARD TO  
20 MAKE SURE WE HAVE THE INFRASTRUCTURE, THE  
21 METHODOLOGY. AND IT'S NOT SO MUCH ABOUT COLLECTING  
22 DATA. IT'S ABOUT COLLECTING GOOD DATA THAT WILL  
23 MEET THE PAYERS' REQUIREMENTS AS WELL AS POTENTIALLY  
24 THE COMPETENT AUTHORITIES' REQUIREMENTS WHEN IT  
25 COMES TO REAL WORLD. ANOTHER TOPIC FOR ANOTHER

1 TIME.

2 I DO WANT TO REPORT ON A SURVEY THAT WAS  
3 JUST RECENTLY PUBLISHED OR PRESENTED AT THE ACADEMY  
4 OF MANAGED CARE PHARMACY. AND SO THE AMCP IS SORT  
5 OF THE THINK TANK WHEN IT COMES TO SETTING  
6 GUIDELINES FOR DOSSIERS THAT GO TO PAYERS, PUBLIC  
7 PAYERS, CMS, ET CETERA. THEY ARE THE HEAVY HITTERS.  
8 THEY SET THE GUIDELINES IN TERMS OF WHAT HAS TO BE  
9 IN THAT DOSSIER FOR PAYERS. PAYERS ACCEPT THAT, AND  
10 THEN THAT'S HOW THE DISCUSSION PROCEEDS.

11 SO THIS SURVEY WAS FASCINATING. SO IT WAS  
12 DESIGNED TO UNDERSTAND THE U.S. PAYER PERSPECTIVE  
13 AND EXPERIENCES WITH INNOVATIVE CELL AND GENE  
14 THERAPY CONTRACTS. AND THE SURVEY WAS SENT TO 30  
15 DIFFERENT HEALTHPLANS. THERE WERE PRIVATE. THERE  
16 WERE A COUPLE PUBLIC HEALTHPLANS AS WELL.

17 AND WHAT THEY FOUND WAS THE PAYMENT MODELS  
18 ARE MUCH MORE PREVALENT THAN PREVIOUSLY RECOGNIZED  
19 AND WELL ACCEPTED BY PAYERS. THE OUTCOMES-BASED  
20 PAYMENTS, WHICH I TALKED ABOUT A COUPLE WEEKS AGO,  
21 WERE THE MOST COMMON. AND THEY FOUND THAT CLINICAL  
22 TRIAL DATA WITH REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE WERE CONSIDERED  
23 VALUABLE ENDPOINTS FOR MONITORING PROGRESS AND  
24 MEASURE OF THE SUCCESS OF INNOVATIVE CONTRACTS.

25 SO, AGAIN, THIS ALIGNS WITH OUR SORT OF

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THINKING AND STRATEGY AND ALIGNS, OF COURSE, WITH  
2 THE FDA'S GUIDANCE ON THE VALUE OF REAL-WORLD DATA.

3 SO BASED ON THE SURVEY, THEY THOUGHT  
4 THAT IN THE NEXT THREE TO FIVE YEARS, RESPONDENTS  
5 INDICATED THAT ONCOLOGY, NEUROLOGY, AND IMMUNOLOGY  
6 ARE THE TOP THREE DISEASE STATES OF INTEREST FOR  
7 INNOVATIVE CONTRACTS, WHICH, OF COURSE, WE'RE IN ALL  
8 THREE OF THOSE DISEASE SPACES.

9 THE AUTHORS CONCLUDED THAT AS MORE NOVEL  
10 CELL AND GENE THERAPIES ENTER THE MARKET, INNOVATIVE  
11 CONTRACTS WILL PLAY A CRUCIAL ROLE IN REDUCING COST  
12 AND IMPROVING ACCESS. AND SO ONE OF THE  
13 RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE HAVE TO THE AAWG FROM THE  
14 TEAM HERE IS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO EXTEND OUR  
15 RESEARCH STANDARDS WITH RESPECT TO INNOVATIVE  
16 PERFORMANCE-BASED MODELS. SO WE WOULD LIKE TO TAKE  
17 THE LEAD IN IDENTIFYING WHAT THOSE STANDARDS SHOULD  
18 BE, AND NOT ONLY FOR THE STATE, AND WE CAN WORK WITH  
19 THE ALPHA CLINICS IN DOING THIS, BUT THERE ALSO HAS  
20 BEEN A CALL FROM CMS TO DO THE SAME THING WITH  
21 STATES. STATES COME TO US, TELL US WHAT ARE THE  
22 THREE BEST MODELS THAT WE SHOULD CONSIDER FROM AN  
23 OUTCOMES-BASED PERFORMANCE MODEL, AND WOULD CONSIDER  
24 THEN PUTTING IT INTO CMS.

25 AND SO THERE'S SORT OF TWO PLAYS HERE.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ONE, LET'S STRIKE UP MAYBE A STRIKE TEAM, IF YOU  
2 WILL, THAT WOULD INTERNALLY BE ABLE TO GIVE US  
3 GUIDANCE ON WHAT SHOULD BE IN THOSE PAYER MODELS.  
4 AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, GUIDANCE FROM THE TEAM IN  
5 TERMS OF HOW WE CAN ENGAGE THE ALPHA CLINICS AND  
6 OTHER SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO  
7 HELP US GET TO THAT FINISH LINE.

8 LET ME OPEN IT UP FOR ADDITIONAL  
9 QUESTIONS. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE? ANYTHING I NEED  
10 TO CLARIFY, PERHAPS GO A LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL?

11 DR. LEVINE: CAN YOU JUST REPEAT THE ASK  
12 THAT YOU'RE ASKING US TO RESPOND TO OR OPINE ON?

13 DR. TURBEVILLE: LET ME MAKE SURE WE ARE  
14 TRACKING CORRECTLY HERE. ONE IS WE PLAN TO DO  
15 ADDITIONAL RESEARCH ON IDENTIFYING THE STANDARDS FOR  
16 INNOVATIVE PERFORMANCE-BASED PAYMENT MODELS. TO  
17 COLLECT RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE OUTCOMES DATA.  
18 ADDRESSING OPERATIONAL CHALLENGES IN DATA COLLECTION  
19 WHILE MAXIMIZING PATIENT ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY.

20 SO REALLY BETWEEN THIS TEAM, DOES THAT  
21 RESONATE WITH FOLKS? DOES THAT SEEM LIKE THAT WOULD  
22 BE A PART OF THE ROADMAP THAT WE WOULD CONSIDER FOR  
23 ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY? AND DO YOU SEE THAT BEING  
24 A WIN-WIN ON MANY LEVELS?

25 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: AMMAR HAS HIS HAND

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 RAISED. GO AHEAD, AMMAR.

2 DR. QADAN: I THINK IT'S THE RIGHT WAY TO  
3 GO, SEAN. HOWEVER, I WOULD SUGGEST THAT NOT LOSING  
4 TIME AND RESOURCES ON GETTING GENERAL  
5 RECOMMENDATIONS AND FOCUS ON OUR CLINICAL PROGRAM  
6 AND WHAT IT IS SUPPOSED TO DELIVER, AND THEN USE  
7 THAT TO DO THE RESEARCH IN MORE DETAILS. WE ARE  
8 RUNNING THE RISK OF GETTING SOME GENERALITIES OUT OF  
9 THAT TYPE OF RESEARCH THAT MIGHT NOT BE THAT HELPFUL  
10 OR MINIMALLY HELPFUL. THANK YOU.

11 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: SEAN, I ALSO HAVE  
12 A QUESTION. WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT RESEARCHING THE  
13 STANDARDS, WHO DO YOU GO TO FOR THAT? LIKE WHERE DO  
14 GET THAT INFORMATION? WHO ARE THE PLAYERS INVOLVED?

15 DR. TURBEVILLE: SO THIS STUFF IS HIGHLY  
16 PROPRIETARY. PAYERS DON'T GIVE UP THIS INFORMATION  
17 AND CERTAINLY CONSULTING FIRMS DON'T, BUT THEY WILL  
18 GIVE YOU GUIDANCE IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY'RE SEEING  
19 OUT THERE. AND THE TEAM THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH  
20 ACTUALLY DOES CREATE VALUE-BASED CONTRACTS FOR THE  
21 INDUSTRY. AND SO THEY CAN GIVE US GUIDANCE IN TERMS  
22 OF WHAT DIRECTION WE NEED TO GO IN AND WHAT TYPE OF  
23 DATA WE SHOULD CONSIDER COLLECTING.

24 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: YES, MARIA. DO  
25 YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU. SO I WANTED TO --  
2 MARIA MILLAN. I CAN'T SEE MANY OF YOU BECAUSE I'M  
3 IN THE ROOM. BUT A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. AMMAR  
4 BROUGHT UP THE HEALTHCARE ECONOMICS RESEARCH EARLIER  
5 AND THEN THIS TOPIC. AND SOME OPPORTUNITIES THAT  
6 THE AAWG CAN RECOMMEND ARE CREATING FUNDING PROGRAMS  
7 THAT MARRY THESE TYPES OF RESEARCH FOR PURPOSE TYPE  
8 OF ANALYSIS THAT HAVE CERTAIN STANDARDS TO THEM WITH  
9 OUR PROGRAMS.

10 THERE'S ALSO THE OPPORTUNITY TO FUND  
11 ENTITIES THAT COULD DO THIS ON OUR BEHALF FOR OUR  
12 PROGRAMS. SO I JUST WANTED TO POINT OUT KIND OF OUR  
13 BUSINESS MODELS AS A FUNDING AGENCY AND HOW WE CAN  
14 MOVE SOME OF THESE OPPORTUNITIES FORWARD.

15 REGARDING HEOR DATA THAT'S BEEN GENERATED  
16 IN THE PAST, ABLA MAY HAVE MENTIONED THAT SOME OF  
17 OUR PROGRAMS DID DO SOME OF THIS ANALYSIS, BUT THEY  
18 WERE ESSENTIALLY CUSTOMIZED FOR THE GIVEN TRIAL,  
19 DIFFERENT PLATFORMS, ET CETERA. BUT WE DID CONSIDER  
20 IT IMPORTANT. SO THEY WERE ALLOWABLE WITHIN OUR  
21 CLINICAL PROGRAMS.

22 ONE COULD ENVISION THAT IT'S NOT ONLY  
23 SOMETHING THAT'S ALLOWED, BUT COULD BE AN  
24 EXPECTATION THAT WE WOULD HAVE FOR OUR CLINICAL  
25 PROGRAMS TO HAVE THE BEST TYPE OF EVIDENCE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 GENERATION, NOT JUST FOR THE SCIENTIFIC AND CLINICAL  
2 EVIDENCE GENERATION, BUT IN TERMS OF HEOR AND EVEN  
3 REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE AND DATA THAT IS IMPORTANT FOR  
4 THIS PROGRAM, NOT ONLY FOR REGULATORY APPROVAL, BUT  
5 FOR COVERAGE DECISIONS LATER.

6 AND I'M NOT AS FAMILIAR AS MANY OF YOU  
7 PROBABLY ARE, BUT I HEARD A REALLY GREAT TALK.  
8 WE'LL CIRCULATE IT. MARK MCCLELLAN GAVE AN UPDATE  
9 ON THE NEW CMS RULES AND THE PRICE REDUCTION ACT AND  
10 WHAT THE POTENTIAL IMPLICATIONS ARE. CERTAINLY ONE  
11 OF THE ASPECTS IN TERMS OF CMS IS THEIR USE OF  
12 REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE IN INFORMING THEIR DECISIONS.  
13 SO IT'S EXTREMELY RELEVANT. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE  
14 IMPACT IS GOING TO BE OF THIS POLICY.

15 THERE IS A SENSE THAT ACTUALLY IT WILL  
16 ACTUALLY BENEFIT THESE RARE, HIGH COST INDICATIONS  
17 IN SOME WAY, KIND OF EQUALIZING. I DON'T KNOW IF  
18 ANY MEMBERS OF THE AAWG HAVE ANY THOUGHTS ABOUT  
19 THAT. BUT IN ANY CASE, I THINK THE IMPORTANT THING  
20 IS THE TYPE OF EVIDENCE THAT IS GOING TO BE  
21 CONSIDERED IMPORTANT IS SOMETHING THAT'S BEING  
22 BROUGHT UP BY SEAN TODAY. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

23 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: JAMES HAS HIS  
24 HAND RAISED.

25 MR. DE BENEDETTI: I'D SAY THIS APPROACH

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MAKES A LOT OF SENSE TO A LOT OF PEOPLE, AND I'VE  
2 HEARD A LOT OF TALKING ABOUT IT YEARS IN THE PAST.  
3 BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY ORGANIZATION HAVE ACTUALLY  
4 IMPLEMENTED IT. SO I WOULDN'T BUILD YOUR WHOLE  
5 PROGRAM AROUND IT UNTIL YOU DID SOME MORE RESEARCH.  
6 DON'T RELY ON IT BEING A SOLUTION TO YOUR PROBLEMS  
7 BECAUSE IT IS A LENGTHY, YEARS, IF NOT DECADES LONG  
8 APPROACH. AND NOT EVERYONE WANTS TO BUILD THEIR  
9 PAYMENTS AROUND SOMETHING LIKE THAT. IT CAN GET  
10 KIND OF COMPLICATED AS WELL. MIGHT BE MORE IN THE  
11 DOMAIN OF STATES RATHER THAN EMPLOYERS OR CARRIERS  
12 OR THINGS LIKE THAT. SO KEEP THAT IN MIND. DON'T  
13 PUT TOO MUCH EXPECTATION ON THIS EVEN THOUGH IT  
14 SOUNDS LIKE IT MAKES SENSE.

15 DR. TURBEVILLE: GOOD. THANK YOU.

16 DR. MILLAN: SORRY. JAMES, THANK YOU SO  
17 MUCH. IT'S MARIA MILLAN. CAN YOU CLARIFY DON'T PUT  
18 TOO MUCH EMPHASIS ON THIS, ON THE APPROACH OF  
19 EVALUATING INNOVATIVE PAYMENT MODELS?

20 MR. DE BENEDETTI: I THINK IT'S WORTH  
21 EXPLORING, BUT I WOULDN'T BUILD YOUR PROGRAM WITH  
22 THE ASSUMPTION THAT THAT IS WHAT WILL SOLVE YOUR  
23 PROBLEMS BECAUSE YOU MAY NOT BE ABLE TO GET THINGS  
24 LIKE THIS TO BE WIDESPREAD LIKE YOU MIGHT LIKE THEM  
25 TO IF IT ONLY WORKS FOR ONE OUT OF TEN OR ONE OUT OF

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 EVERY HUNDRED CASES THAT YOU BRING UP.

2 DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU.

3 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: PAT HAS HIS HAND  
4 RAISED.

5 DR. LEVITT: SEAN, ARE THERE DISCUSSIONS  
6 IN TERMS OF PUBLIC PAYERS AND WHAT -- THEY CAN'T BE  
7 AS COVERT IN TERMS OF INFORMATION, RIGHT, ABOUT HOW  
8 THEY'RE THINKING ABOUT STRUCTURING PAYMENTS.  
9 MEDI-CAL, FOR EXAMPLE, WHERE A THIRD OF THE  
10 CALIFORNIA POPULATION IS COVERED, THAT DEALS WITH  
11 THE ACCESSIBILITY ISSUE AS WELL. IS THERE  
12 INFORMATION COMING FROM -- ARE THEY INVOLVED IN  
13 PLANNING HOW THEY'RE GOING TO DEAL WITH WHAT KIND OF  
14 MODEL THEY'RE GOING TO USE?

15 DR. TURBEVILLE: GOOD QUESTION. AND IT  
16 ISN'T OBVIOUSLY IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN, AND THEY'VE  
17 BEEN VERY FORTHRIGHT IN TERMS OF -- I'M SPEAKING  
18 FROM CMS AT THIS POINT. THEY'RE VERY PROACTIVE IN  
19 REACHING OUT TO STATES TO GET GUIDANCE ON WHAT THEY  
20 THINK SHOULD BE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR OUTCOMES-BASED  
21 PERFORMANCE MODELS.

22 WE HAVEN'T ENGAGED WITH THE STATE AT THIS  
23 POINT. I CAN'T COMMENT ON WHAT MEDI-CAL IS DOING,  
24 BUT THAT'S CERTAINLY ON OUR RADAR. AND MAYBE  
25 SENATOR TORRES, PERHAPS, CAN START GETTING THOSE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 INTERACTIONS STARTED.

2 DR. LEVITT: GOOD. SORRY.

3 DR. TURBEVILLE: WE HAVEN'T ENGAGED WITH  
4 THE STATE AT THIS POINT. I THINK THERE'S A LITTLE  
5 BIT OF -- TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, MANY STATES ARE A  
6 LITTLE BIT HESITANT IN TERMS OF WHICH DIRECTION THEY  
7 NEED TO GO IN RIGHT NOW. I THINK IT'S COMING FROM  
8 THE TOP DOWN RATHER THE BOTTOM UP. AND AS MY  
9 COMMENT EARLIER IS REALLY ABOUT, HEY, LET'S TAKE IT  
10 FROM THE BOTTOM UP AND SEE IF WE CAN DRIVE SOME OF  
11 THESE ACTIVITIES WITH THE STATE AS WELL AS ALL THE  
12 WAY UP TO CMS.

13 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I THINK SOMETHING  
14 ELSE TO CONSIDER IS WHAT EXACTLY OUR ASK IS OF THEM.  
15 SO WE HAVE TO LOOK AT WHERE OUR PORTFOLIO IS. IS IT  
16 ACROSS CELL AND GENE THERAPY THAT WE WANT THE  
17 INFORMATION? IS IT SOMETHING SPECIFIC TO ONE OF OUR  
18 PROGRAMS? SO I THINK WE HAVE TO CRAFT OUR OWN  
19 STRATEGY INTERNALLY FOR WHAT WE WANT FROM THEM IN  
20 ORDER TO BE ABLE TO DRIVE THE CONVERSATION.

21 DR. LEVITT: THERE'S A EUROPEAN AGENCY  
22 THAT DOES THIS ACROSS ALL OF EUROPE FOR DIFFERENT  
23 COUNTRIES IN TERMS OF DEFINING EXACTLY WHAT THEY  
24 MEAN IN TERMS OF THE TYPES OF THERAPIES. SO MAYBE  
25 IT'D BE WORTH LOOKING AT. I'M NOT AN EXPERT IN THIS

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 AREA. I JUST READ THIS STUFF AND SEE WHAT THEY'RE  
2 TRYING TO DEFINE AS THERAPIES THAT THEY WOULD PUT  
3 UNDER THIS RUBRIC, AND THEN FIGURE OUT HOW THEY'RE  
4 GOING TO USE PERFORMANCE TO DETERMINE ACCESSIBILITY.  
5 THEY HAVE VERY DIFFERENT PAYER MODELS. SO THAT'S  
6 NOT GOING TO BE RELEVANT.

7 BUT I'M ALSO A LITTLE BIT NERVOUS ABOUT  
8 GOING TO OTHER STATES TO GET THEIR INFORMATION ABOUT  
9 WHAT THEY'RE DOING BECAUSE CALIFORNIA HAS BEEN  
10 PRETTY ENTRENCHED IN THEIR MEDICAID REIMBURSEMENT  
11 MODELS FOR, LIKE, DECADES. SO UNLESS THERE'S AN  
12 EPIPHANY AND THAT CHANGES, WHICH I DON'T BELIEVE IS  
13 GOING TO HAPPEN, IT MAY NOT BE RELEVANT TO GET  
14 INFORMATION FROM NEW YORK OR ILLINOIS OR WHEREVER  
15 BECAUSE IT JUST DOESN'T APPLY HERE.

16 DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. GOOD.

17 DR. MILLAN: SEAN, CAN I MAKE A COMMENT?

18 DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAN, CERTAINLY.

19 DR. MILLAN: SINCE YOU WERE THE LAST  
20 SPEAKER, PAT, I WONDERED IF YOU CAN COMMENT ON WHAT  
21 I'M ABOUT TO SAY, WHICH IS THAT AT ONE OF THE PRIOR  
22 MEETINGS SEAN HAD AND ABLA CREASEY HAD HIGHLIGHTED  
23 THAT CURRENTLY HALF OF OUR PROGRAM IS IN RARE  
24 DISEASE. AND WE MAY BE FACING A DIFFERENT SCENARIO  
25 FOR RARE DISEASE IN PEDIATRICS THAN WE DO FOR THE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 MORE COMMON BROADER INDICATIONS, SUCH AS ONCOLOGY,  
2 FOR INSTANCE. SO THAT'S SOMETHING FOR US TO  
3 CONSIDER. WHEN WE TALKED TO THOSE FOR THE FIRST  
4 ONES OUT THERE MARKETING GENE THERAPIES FOR RARE  
5 DISEASE, SUCH AS SPINAL MUSCULAR ATROPHY, ET CETERA,  
6 WHAT WE ARE FINDING IS ACTUALLY THEY'RE NOT HAVING  
7 AS MUCH OF AN ISSUE GETTING PRIVATE INSURANCE  
8 COVERAGE FOR THESE INDICATIONS BECAUSE OF THE  
9 NATURE. THESE ARE SEVERELY UNMET MEDICAL NEEDS,  
10 FATAL DISORDERS, PEDIATRICS, AND ALL THAT. IT'S  
11 MORE OF A STATEMENT MORE THAN ANYTHING.

12 SO EVEN THIS VALUE BASED -- I THINK THAT  
13 WAS A VERY IMPORTANT CAUTIONARY TALE IN TERMS OF HOW  
14 MUCH YOU PUT IN TO TAKE STOCK IN TERMS OF  
15 ONE-SIZE-FITS-ALL APPROACH TO EVALUATING INNOVATIVE  
16 MODELS.

17 SO THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT THERE CAN'T BE A  
18 UNIFORM, MORE EFFICIENT WAY TO GAIN COVERAGE FOR A  
19 RARE DISEASE. IT'S DEFINITELY A NEED. BUT I WANTED  
20 TO POINT THAT OUT AND JUST LOVE TO HEAR WHETHER THAT  
21 IS, FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE, THAT THAT IS A FAIR  
22 STATEMENT OR YOU HAVE ANY OTHER THOUGHTS ABOUT THAT.

23 DR. LEVITT: YEAH. I MEAN WHAT WE ARE  
24 SUPPORTING NOW IS REALLY FOCUSED ON RARE DISEASES,  
25 BUT THAT'S LIKELY TO CHANGE OVER TIME WITH ADVANCES

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 IN TECHNOLOGY. RIGHT? SO DISEASES SUCH AS DIABETES  
2 ARE NOT RARE. AND CELLULAR THERAPEUTICS IN JUST A  
3 RELATIVELY SHORT PERIOD OF TIME HAS ADVANCED PRETTY  
4 DRAMATICALLY. AND SO I DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER  
5 THAT. I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT IN THE MOMENT, THAT IS  
6 CORRECT. AND MAYBE THIS IS FOCUSED ON WHAT WE ARE  
7 DEALING WITH IN THE MOMENT. I'M JUST SORT OF  
8 THINKING ABOUT HOW THE TREND HAS BEEN OCCURRING IN  
9 TERMS OF ALL HEALTHCARE COVERAGE IN THE STATE OF  
10 CALIFORNIA FOR A THIRD OF THE POPULATION. AND THE  
11 TRENDS ARE NOT GOOD. RIGHT?

12 AND SO CONTEMPLATING HOW THEY'RE GOING TO  
13 DEAL WITH THESE THERAPIES, WHICH ARE UNBELIEVABLY  
14 ECONOMIC CHALLENGES, I THINK I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER  
15 TO IT. BUT I THINK YOU ARE CORRECT IN TERMS OF WHAT  
16 YOU'RE DESCRIBING IN THE HERE AND NOW.

17 DR. MILLAN: SO THAT'S IF YOU'RE TALKING  
18 ABOUT A PORTFOLIO-BASED APPROACH, I JUST WANTED TO  
19 POINT OUT THAT SOME OF THE PORTFOLIO-BASED APPROACH  
20 IN THE MOMENT MAY BE RELYING ON THAT KIND OF  
21 SPECIALIZED BOUTIQUE TYPE OF COVERAGE. AND THAT AS  
22 WE DEVELOP KIND OF THE BROADER -- SEAN HAD  
23 PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED TWO TYPES OF KIND OF, I WOULD  
24 SAY, DEMONSTRATION CASES OR INDICATIONS THAT ALLOW  
25 US TO COVER BOTH. ONE IS THE CANCER INDICATIONS,

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THE PART B'S THAT ARE MORE MATURE AND THE RARE  
2 DISEASE ONES THAT MAY REQUIRE A DIFFERENT TYPE OF  
3 CONSIDERATION. AND THE BOARD MAY SAY THEY'RE BOTH  
4 IMPORTANT, OR THE BOARD WITH THE AAWG'S  
5 RECOMMENDATION MAY SAY FOCUS ON X OR Y.

6 SO I JUST POINT IT OUT IN TERMS OF THE  
7 TYPE OF DIRECTION THAT THE INTERNAL TEAM WOULD  
8 REALLY FIND USEFUL IN TERMS OF DEVELOPING APPROACHES  
9 TO BRING MORE INFORMATION TO YOU AND TO THE BOARD.  
10 THANK YOU.

11 DR. LEVITT: I WAS GOING TO SAY, TO FOLLOW  
12 UP, ONE OF THE THINGS TO CONSIDER IS THAT WE HAVE A  
13 COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY IN WHICH WE ARE TRYING TO  
14 EMPHASIZE THE BREADTH AND DEPTH OF THE IMPACT OF  
15 CIRM BROADLY ON THE POPULATION. AND SO I THINK WE  
16 HAVE TO BE CAREFUL IN MY MIND ABOUT COMMUNICATING  
17 WHERE THE FOCUS IS FOR SOME OF THE DISEASE TARGETS  
18 WHICH SCIENTIFICALLY MAKES SENSE, BUT WE DON'T WANT  
19 MIXED MESSAGES, RIGHT, THAT IN THE HERE AND NOW WE  
20 ARE TALKING ABOUT RARE DISEASES AND THAT PAYER  
21 INVOLVEMENT IS GOING TO BE MORE STRAIGHTFORWARD THAN  
22 WE THINK.

23 DR. MILLAN: ABSOLUTELY.

24 DR. LEVITT: AT THE SAME TIME TALKING  
25 ABOUT THE IMPACT ON THE POPULATION OF CALIFORNIA

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 BROADLY, THOSE ARE MIXED MESSAGES, RIGHT?

2 DR. MILLAN: YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. THE  
3 THING IS THAT THE INITIAL INDICATIONS FOR THE RARE  
4 DISEASE, IT'S REALLY A SPRINGBOARD FOR THE LARGER  
5 INDICATIONS BECAUSE IT'S A TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM  
6 VALIDATION. AND THE IDEA IS THAT THAT WOULD BE  
7 BROADER. SO YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. THE TARGET IS  
8 BROADER. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

9 DR. LEVITT: THANKS.

10 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ADRIANA.

11 DR. PADILLA: I WAS GOING TO COMMENT THAT  
12 COVERAGE HAS ALWAYS BEEN THE BANE OF MY EXISTENCE IN  
13 PRIVATE PRACTICE BASICALLY, AND IT'S NOT JUST FOR  
14 CELL AND GENE THERAPY. IT'S FOR ANYTHING THAT WE  
15 USE IN MEDICINE TODAY, COVERAGE IS HORRIBLE.

16 WHAT I DO KNOW, THOUGH, IS THAT MEDICARE,  
17 USUALLY PART D DOES THEIR OWN CONTRACTING MODELS.  
18 AND A LOT OF THE COMMERCIAL INSURANCES KIND OF BASE  
19 THEIR COVERAGE TO WHAT MEDICARE DOES. AND HAVE YOU  
20 STUDIED THE PART D PART OF MEDICARE TO SEE WHAT KIND  
21 OF POLICIES THEY'VE SET FORTH FOR COVERAGE OF RARE  
22 DISEASES IN THE OVER 65 POPULATION TO SEE WHAT  
23 STANDARDS THEY USE AND WHETHER THAT MIGHT BE HELPFUL  
24 IN WORKING WITH THE COMMERCIAL INSURANCES?

25 DR. TURBEVILLE: THAT'S A GOOD POINT.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 CERTAINLY FAMILIAR WITH PART D IN THE ONCOLOGY  
2 SPACE; BUT FOR THE RARE DISEASE SPACE, THAT'S  
3 SOMETHING WE'D HAVE TO INVESTIGATE FURTHER. BUT  
4 THAT'S A GOOD POINT. THANK YOU.

5 MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ADRIENNE.  
6 ADRIENNE, ARE YOU ON MUTE?

7 MS. SHAPIRO: I'VE GOT A KNOT IN THE PIT  
8 OF MY STOMACH BECAUSE WHEN WE ARE LOOKING AT  
9 COVERAGE, AND, SAY, FROM MY GROUP, FROM THE SICKLE  
10 CELL PERSPECTIVE, THAT WE ARE HAVING REAL TROUBLE  
11 ACCESSING WHAT WE NEED FOR COMPARING OURSELVES TO  
12 OTHER DISEASES IN THE CHRONIC SPACE, CHRONICALLY ILL  
13 SPACE AS WELL AS THE RARE SPACE. SO I JUST WANT TO  
14 PUT IT OUT THERE THAT WHEN YOU START TALKING ABOUT  
15 LOOKING AT OTHER STATES AND THINGS, I WOULD REALLY  
16 LIKE FOR US TO LOOK AT WHAT'S HAPPENING IN  
17 CALIFORNIA BECAUSE I THINK WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY  
18 HERE BECAUSE WE HAVE LIKE EVERYTHING THAT WE NEED.  
19 WE HAVE OUR PORTFOLIO, OUR PORTFOLIO THAT'S GOT RARE  
20 DISEASES, BUT WE HAVE A LARGE SICKLE CELL POPULATION  
21 WHICH IS RARE TO HAVE IN THE RARE SPACE, TO HAVE  
22 SUCH A LARGE POPULATION, WHICH WILL ALSO GIVE US  
23 SOME INSIGHT TO WHAT HAPPENS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY  
24 ON LOOKING AT THINGS IN TERMS OF EQUITY AND BIAS.

25 ALSO, WE HAVE QUITE A BIT OF DOCUMENTATION

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ON WHAT HAPPENS WHEN A PERSON, THE META, THE PUBLIC  
2 PROGRAM VERSUS PRIVATE BECAUSE WE DO HAVE A PORTION  
3 OF OUR POPULATION THAT ACTUALLY IS COVERED BY  
4 PRIVATE INSURANCE. AND THE THINGS THAT WE SEE  
5 HAPPENING WHEN WE ARE UP AGAINST TRYING TO GET  
6 TREATMENT FOR OUR DISEASE COMPARED TO WHAT'S  
7 HAPPENING WITH SOMEONE IN TERMS OF BILLING WHO HAS  
8 CANCER. SO I LIKE THE IDEA OF US KIND OF DOING A  
9 DEEP DIVE AND LOOKING AT REAL-WORLD DATA, BUT IT CAN  
10 GET REALLY KNOTTY. THERE CAN BE A LOT OF  
11 COMPLICATIONS.

12 SO I WOULD REALLY LIKE FOR US TO JUST  
13 MAYBE KIND OF LOOK AT WHAT WE CAN LOOK AT IN  
14 CALIFORNIA AND THEN GO FROM THERE, JUST KIND OF DO  
15 OUR -- AS OUR PILOT BECAUSE WE ARE ABOUT  
16 TO -- NOBODY EXPECTED TO HAVE A CURE FOR SICKLE  
17 GOING AS QUICKLY AS IT HAS. AND IT'S HERE. WE ALL  
18 KNOW THAT THIS IS JUST THE BEGINNING. AND THIS IS A  
19 TECHNOLOGY-BASED THING AND WE KNOW WHAT HAPPENS WHEN  
20 THAT HAPPENS. PEOPLE COME OUT -- THE FIRST OUT THE  
21 GATE JUST START AT THE STANDARD AND PROVE IT CAN BE  
22 DONE. AND THEN EVERYBODY ELSE STARTS WHERE THEY ARE  
23 AND IMPROVES. AND SO IT'S GOING TO GO REALLY,  
24 REALLY FAST. I JUST DON'T WANT US GETTING MIRED  
25 BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT I HAVE SEEN THE EFFECT THAT

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THE POLICIES FOLLOWED BY CIRM HAVE MADE IN OTHER  
2 STATES. THE REQUIREMENTS THEY HAVE FOR FUNDING, THE  
3 QUALITY OF RESEARCH IN THE LAST SEVEN YEARS BY BEING  
4 REALLY INVOLVED, I HAVE SEEN THE IMPACT.

5 SO I THINK WE HAVE, I'M GOING TO SAY,  
6 RESPONSIBILITY TO DO THIS IN A WAY WHERE WE ARE  
7 COVERING THINGS HONESTLY AND NOT LIKE THEY'VE BEEN  
8 DONE BEFORE. AND I THINK THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO  
9 REALLY HAVE THAT REAL-LIFE, REAL DATA, AND REAL  
10 DATA, NOT JUST -- HOW CAN I PUT THIS? -- MEANING  
11 THAT WE ARE REALLY GOING TO BE TOUCHING AND GETTING  
12 THE DATA THAT'S HELD BACK, RIGHT, AND HAVING THE  
13 HEALTH ECONOMIST HELP US UNDERSTAND BECAUSE THERE'S  
14 A LOT THAT GOES ON THAT HAS TO BE EXPLAINED TO  
15 LAYMEN ABOUT THAT. BUT I FEEL LIKE IF WE'RE JUST  
16 LOOKING AT CALIFORNIA -- I'M BEING REDUNDANT. I'M  
17 SORRY. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE US DO THAT BECAUSE WE  
18 HAVE IN THAT SENSE A CONTROLLED, KNOWN ENVIRONMENT  
19 FROM WHICH WE CAN THEN MAKE HYPOTHESIS AND DOCUMENT  
20 AND SHARE THAT INFORMATION.

21 DR. TURBEVILLE: LET ME CLARIFY SOMETHING.  
22 THANK YOU FOR THAT. THAT WAS INCREDIBLY HELPFUL.

23 WHEN I SPOKE ABOUT OTHER STATES, I DON'T  
24 WANT -- I DIDN'T WANT US TO DECIDE THAT WE WERE  
25 GOING TO GO LOOK AT WHAT OTHER STATES ARE DOING. I

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THINK MY POINT WAS CMS IS ASKING FOR OTHER STATES,  
2 INCLUDING US, GUIDANCE IN TERMS OF WHAT THOSE MODELS  
3 LOOK LIKE. JUST A CLARITY.

4 MS. SHAPIRO: I UNDERSTOOD THAT. WHEN WE  
5 START DOING THAT, LOOKING BACKWARDS, NOT BACKWARDS.  
6 I DON'T MEAN BACKWARDS. I JUST KNOW HOW FAST THIS  
7 IS GOING TO GO. I KNOW THAT I'M LOSING, MY  
8 COMMUNITY IS LOSING PEOPLE WHO COULD REALLY BENEFIT  
9 FROM THIS TECHNOLOGY. AND SO WE CAN ANSWER THESE  
10 QUESTIONS AND GET AHEAD OF THAT CURVE I SEE OF  
11 PEOPLE BECOMING UNELIGIBLE, THAT THAT WOULD BE  
12 REALLY GREAT. SO I GUESS THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING.  
13 AND WE JUST FOCUS IT AND THEN SHORTER ITERATIONS.  
14 DOES THAT MAKE SENSE? AM I --

15 DR. TURBEVILLE: CERTAINLY. ABSOLUTELY.  
16 MAYBE FOCUS JUST ON A SPECIFIC THERAPEUTIC SPACE AND  
17 TAKE BABY STEPS WITH RESPECT TO PHASES IN TERMS OF  
18 HOW WE APPROACH. THIS IS PART OF THE ROADMAP, TO BE  
19 HONEST WITH YOU. SO WE WILL CONSIDER ADDITIONAL  
20 RESEARCH. THERE'S A LOT OF UNANSWERED QUESTIONS OUT  
21 THERE.

22 ONE OF THE CHALLENGING AND UNIQUE THINGS  
23 ABOUT CELL AND GENE THERAPY IS EVERYTHING IS MOVING  
24 SIMULTANEOUSLY. THE PAYER SPACE, THE THERAPIES, HOW  
25 DRUGS ARE BEING MANUFACTURED AND DISTRIBUTED, AND WE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 ARE TRYING, I THINK EVERYBODY IS TRYING TO  
2 COMPARTMENTALIZE EACH SECTION TO SEE WHERE THERE ARE  
3 PLACES THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO HAVE IMPACT ON  
4 PATIENTS WITH RESPECT TO ACCESS.

5 DR. LEVINE: IT'S COME UP --

6 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: ONE THING I JUST  
7 WANTED TO CIRCLE BACK TO IS SOMETHING THAT AMMAR  
8 BROUGHT UP AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING WAS  
9 UNDERSTANDING WHERE OUR PORTFOLIO IS AND USING THAT  
10 AS A BASIS OF SORT THE DIRECTION WE TAKE CONSIDERING  
11 OUR WORK IS IN SUPPORT OF THE WORK THAT CIRM DOES  
12 AND FUNDS. AND SO REALLY BEING ABLE TO TAKE A LOOK  
13 AT THE PORTFOLIO, UNDERSTAND WHERE WE ARE IN RESPECT  
14 TO THE DIFFERENT CLINICAL TRIALS WE ARE FUNDING, AND  
15 UNDERSTANDING WHAT THEY NEED IN ORDER TO HAVE ACCESS  
16 AND AFFORDABILITY FOR THE PATIENTS IN THEIR TRIALS.  
17 AND THEN THE PATIENTS IN CALIFORNIA, I THINK, WOULD  
18 BE A REALLY GREAT PLACE TO START IF WE COULD GET  
19 SOME MOMENTUM THERE.

20 DR. MILLAN: I KNOW HARLAN WANTS TO SAY  
21 SOMETHING ON THAT. SO I WANT TO JUST RAISE KIND OF  
22 THE HOW-TOS IN DOING THAT. RATHER THAN CONTINUING  
23 TO HAVE PRESENTATIONS BY OUR TEAM, HOW DO YOU REALLY  
24 LOOK AT THE PORTFOLIO. SO FOR SICKLE CELL, FOR  
25 INSTANCE, THERE ARE TWO PROGRAMS, THERE ARE TWO GENE

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 THERAPIES THAT ARE ON THE VERGE, THAT ARE DUE TO BE  
2 APPROVED THIS YEAR. THEY'RE NOT CIRM PROGRAMS. ONE  
3 IS CRISPR AND ONE IS FROM BLUEBIRD BIO. SO THE  
4 QUESTION IS --

5 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: BUT WE ARE FUNDING  
6 A SICKLE CELL TRIAL.

7 DR. MILLAN: WE ARE FUNDING SICKLE CELL,  
8 BUT I WANTED TO JUST MAKE CLEAR IT'S NOT NECESSARILY  
9 THE PORTFOLIO, BUT IT'S THE INDICATIONS THAT ARE  
10 COVERED BY OUR PORTFOLIO. I WANTED TO MAKE THAT  
11 DISTINCTION, THAT IT'S NOT A PER-PROJECT BASIS.  
12 IT'S A SCOPE BASED TYPE OF ANALYSIS. SO I JUST  
13 WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT BECAUSE, IF WE ARE VERY  
14 LITERAL ABOUT IT, WE MAY NOT BE DOING WHAT ADRIENNE  
15 IS ASKING, WHICH IS LOOKING AT HOW THESE CAN BENEFIT  
16 PATIENTS, POTENTIAL CURATIVE THERAPIES THAT ARE AT  
17 THIS POINT POTENTIALLY APPROVED. SO THAT'S --  
18 ANYWAY, HARLAN, I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT.

19 DR. LEVINE: NOT AT ALL. AT THIS POINT  
20 IT'S A LITTLE OFF TOPIC, BUT I JUST THINK WE SHOULD  
21 ALSO LOOK BACK TO WHEN CAR-T WAS FIRST RELEASED,  
22 THAT CMS APPROVED THE TREATMENT, BUT DID NOT APPROVE  
23 PAYMENT. SO PRIVATE PAYERS WERE PAYING FOR IT; CMS  
24 WAS NOT. I THINK THERE ARE STILL THINGS WHERE  
25 MEDICAID IS NOT PAYING FOR GENOMIC THINGS. I THINK

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 WE OUGHT TO JUST KIND OF LOOK AT THAT AND MAKE SURE  
2 WE'RE NOT IN A POSITION, WE DON'T FIND OURSELVES IN  
3 A POSITION AGAIN WHERE THERE'S A DISCONNECT BETWEEN  
4 WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS PAYING FOR AND THE PAYERS ARE  
5 PAYING FOR. AND IF SO, WE SHOULD BRING IT TO LIGHT  
6 FASTER SO THERE'S NOT THAT GAP THAT WE ARE HEARING,  
7 WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT, PARTICULARLY IN THE MEDI-CAL  
8 POPULATION.

9 DR. TURBEVILLE: GOOD POINT. OKAY. WELL,  
10 LIVELY DISCUSSION. SO THANK YOU FOR ALL THE  
11 COMMENTS AND FEEDBACK. IT'S VERY HELPFUL.

12 SO AT THIS POINT, THAT WAS THE LAST OF OUR  
13 PRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE DUE DILIGENCE ON  
14 THE RESEARCH FOR THE ROADMAP. JUST AS A QUICK  
15 REFRESHER, WE TALKED ABOUT PATIENT SUPPORT SERVICES,  
16 THE NEW PAYER MODELS. AT ONE POINT WE TALKED ABOUT  
17 INPATIENT/OUTPATIENT SETTING, PARTICULARLY IN THE  
18 CAR-T SPACE. WE DID BRING UP A NUMBER OF  
19 OPPORTUNITIES IN STATE AND FEDERAL POLICY. WE  
20 OBVIOUSLY HAVE A LOT OF OPPORTUNITY WITH THE ALPHA  
21 CLINICS AND THE COMMUNITY CARE CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE  
22 AS PART OF THE ROADMAP. THE REAL-WORLD DATA, HEALTH  
23 ECON, POSTMARKETING REQUIREMENTS, AND THEN, OF  
24 COURSE, TODAY A LITTLE BIT OF COVERAGE ANALYSIS AND  
25 INSURANCE RESTRICTIONS THAT WE'RE STARTING TO SEE.

**BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152**

1 SO THE NEXT PRESENTATION, WHICH WILL BE IN  
2 FOUR WEEKS, WILL BE OUR ROADMAP AND RECOMMENDATIONS.  
3 WE'RE LOOKING AT A POTENTIALLY FIVE-YEAR PLAN. WE  
4 MAY EXCEED IT OR EXTEND IT TO SEVEN YEARS DEPENDING  
5 ON WHAT'S AVAILABLE IN TERMS OF RESEARCH FOR SOME OF  
6 THE LATE STAGE INVESTMENTS OR FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES.

7 SO REALLY LOOKING TO PRESENTING THAT TO  
8 THE AAWG IN THE NEXT FOUR WEEKS. AND SO I WANT TO,  
9 VICE CHAIRMAN, HAND IT OVER TO YOU AND SEE IF  
10 THERE'S ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS.

11 VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: ARE THERE ANY  
12 COMMENTS FROM THE GROUP? NO HANDS RAISED THAT I  
13 SEE. SO THANK YOU, EVERYONE. THANK YOU, SEAN, FOR  
14 THIS PRESENTATION. IT WAS GREAT. THANKS TO  
15 EVERYONE. WE WILL SEE YOU IN ABOUT A MONTH. IF YOU  
16 HAVE ANY QUESTIONS IN THE MEANTIME, PLEASE DO REACH  
17 OUT TO SEAN OR TO MYSELF. IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS  
18 ABOUT THE DIRECTION THIS IS TAKING, ANY INPUT THAT  
19 YOU MIGHT WANT TO GIVE, IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO  
20 HEAR FROM YOU, SO PLEASE FEEL FREE TO CALL OR EMAIL.  
21 THANK YOU.

22 DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.  
23 (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 2:06 P.M.)

24  
25

**REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE**

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MAY 2, 2023, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152  
133 HENNA COURT  
SANDPOINT, IDAHO  
(208) 920-3543